

10/782,451


Remarks/arguments:

In the last office action the examiner rejected claims 1-12, 14-17 19-22, 24, and 26-29 as unpatentable over Braiman in view of Fisher et al. The principal claims 1 and 20 have been amended to more clearly set forth applicant's invention. In view of the amendment, it is respectfully submitted that the examiner is in error in his rejection. Thus applicant is claiming a sandwich construction including base structure, a hard over structure, and light polymerizable interconnecting material sandwiched between the base and over structures. This three part sandwich construction, which can be compared to a jelly sandwich, is not analogous to the constructions shown in Braiman, which is more comparable to a bacon, lettuce and tomato sandwich. Thus Braiman fails to disclose a sandwich construction where light polymerizable interconnecting material completely fills the space between a base structure and an over structure. The additional reference to Fisher fails to overcome this basic defect. Accordingly, the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw his rejection based on Braiman and Fisher et al.

The additional claims which stand rejected on the above two references plus Sozio et al should also be allowed with the independent claims from which they depend.

In that all claims of this application recite features clearly not shown by Braiman or the other references relied upon by the examiner, the allowance of this application is respectfully requested in the absence of more relevant prior art.

Respectfully submitted,


John C. Thompson

Reg. No. 20,253

69 Grayton Road
Tonawanda, NY 14150

(716) 832-9447

Facsimile: (716) 706-1428